# IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE REPUBLIC OF VANUATU

THE REPUBLIC OF VANUATU
(Civil Jurisdiction)

Civil Case No. 39 of 2014

**BETWEEN: CARMINE PIANTEDOSI** 

Claimant

AND:

THE REPUBLIC OF VANUATU

<u>Defendant</u>

Coram:

Mr. Justice Oliver A. Saksak

Counsel:

Mr.John Malcolm for the Claimant Mr.Frederick Gilu for the Defendant

Date:

28th April 2014

# SUMMARY JUDGMENT

#### WHEREAS-

- 1. The applicant (Claimant) filed a statement of claim on 27<sup>th</sup> February 2014 against the Defendant alleging statutory breach of contract- pursuant to the Employment Act cap 160.
- 2. The claims are for judgment in the sum of AUD\$36,725, interest from 30<sup>th</sup> October 2012 at 5% per annum and indemnity costs.
- 3. The claims are supported by evidence contained in the sworn statement of the Claimant filed together with the claim on 27<sup>th</sup> February 2014.

### 4. Documentary evidence show-

- a. An existing valid contract duly executed between the State and the Claimant-Annexure A
- b. A Tax Invoice issued by the Claimant to the Defendant for payment of the sum of AUD\$36,725 Annexure B
- c. A letter of offer dated 16<sup>th</sup> September 2013 by the Claimant's Counsel offering the sum of AUD\$26,725 and putting the Defendant on notice that proceedings would issue if payment was not made in 14 days- Annexure C, and
- d. The response by the Solicitor General by letter dated 26<sup>th</sup> September 2013 accepting the offer of AUD\$26,725 on a without prejudice basis and advice that payments were being finalised for January 2014 Annexure D
- 5. Despite that acceptance and undertaking by the Solicitor-General the Defendant has not made any payments. The failure or omission has resulted in the Claimant filing an application seeking summary judgment.
- 6. The application is uncontested and the evidence in support of the grounds are not challenged.
- 7. Despite the Defendant not having filed any response and/or defence, the Court is satisfied on the unchallenged evidence before it that
  - a. The Defendant has no real prospect or at all of defending the Claim, and
  - b. There is no need for a trial,

## NOW THEREFORE IT IS ADJUDGED THAT-

a. The application for summary judgment be allowed.

b. Judgment be summarily entered in favour of the Claimant against the Defendant for payment of the following-

i. Principal sum due under the contract - AUD\$36,725.00,

ii. Interest thereon accruing daily at 5% per annum from 27<sup>th</sup> February 2014 until the debt is fully paid by the Defendant.

iii. Costs on the standard basis as agreed or taxed by the Court.

DATED AT PORT VILA THIS 28<sup>TH</sup> OF APRIL 2014
BY THE COURT

To the

OLIVER A.SAKSÁK Judge COURT

SUPREME

TIC OF VAN

QUE DE VAN